DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility	y Address:	501 Pine Street, Kane Pa	
Facility	y EPA ID #:	PAD 05 995 1871	
l.	groundwater, su	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected release rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from hits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been co ation?	m Solid Waste

BACKGROUND

Facility Name:

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

Houston Electronics

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		
Air (indoors) ²		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		
Air (outdoors)		X		

<u>X</u>	If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
	If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
	If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

The Houston Electronics facility began operation at the Kane facility in 1963. The facility manufactured hermetically sealed glass to metal holders for quartz crystals which are as frequency references in a variety of applications. The company operated under RCRA interim status for a short time in the early 1980s.

Houston Electronics filed both a HW notification and a Part A for a treatment operation in which plating bath solutions were neutralized with limestone in two small fiberglass tanks that subsequently discharged to the Kane municipal treatment plant. Houston Electronics alsoused 1,1,1 TCE in its operation and reports that this material, along with some waste oils, was picked up for distillations ans reuse.

PADEP first inspected the facility in 1982. At that time, PADEP discovered that the facility was Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft))						
Air (outdoors)							
Instructions for Sum	mary Exposur	e Pathway	Evaluation	Table:			
	ut specific Me ted" as identif			Receptors' space	ces for Media	which are not	
	es" or "no" for ombination (Pa		'completene	ess" under eacl	n "Contaminat	ed" Media I	Human
Note: In order to foc Media - Human Rec combinations may n added as necessary.	eptor combina	tions (Path	ways) do no	ot have check s	spaces ("")	. While these	
sk in- ea	ip to #6, and e place, whethe	nter "YE" : r natural or ed medium	status code, man-made,	y contaminate after explaining preventing a optional Pathwa	ng and/or refer complete expo	rencing conditions sure pathway	ion(s) from
If	yes (pathways mbination) - c	s are comple continue aft	ete for any 'er providing	'Contaminated g supporting ex	l'' Media - Hui xplanation.	man Receptor	
	unknown (for d enter "IN" s			Media - Humar	Receptor con	nbination) - sk	ip to #6
D 4 1 1D 4	()						

Rationale and Reference(s):

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 4

4.	"significant" (i. greater in magnit acceptable "level (perhaps even the	es from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) ude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the s" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude bugh low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable esult in greater than acceptable risks)?
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and R	eference(s):
		uestion on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and

5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Page 5

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code
ale and R	deference(s):

Rationale and Reference(s):

Current Human Exposures Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 6

_	review of the inform are expected to be "	under current be re-evaluated when the	I Determination, " EPA ID # nt and reasonably	Current Human
	NO - "Current Hur	nan Exposures" are NOT	"Under Control."	
	IN - More informa	ation is needed to make a	determination.	
Completed by		/s/		4/12/04
Supervisor	(signature) (print)	/s/	Date	4/12/04
	(title)			
	(EPA Region or Sta	ate)		
	D. 6			
Locations whe	re References may b	e found:		

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.